

# theory of relativity

## The Theory of Relativity

### Nikola Tesla

"Magnificent mathematical garbage which fascinates, dazzles and makes people blind to the underlying errors. The theory is like a beggar clothed in purple whom ignorant people take for a king." [Nikola Tesla]

---

### Albert Einstein

When forced to summarize the **general theory of relativity** in one sentence: "*Time and space and gravitation have no separate existence from matter.*" [Albert Einstein]

"Sit with a beautiful woman for an Hour and it seems like a Minute, sit on a hot stove for a Minute and it seems like an Hour, THAT IS RELATIVITY."- Albert Einstein

"Typically, Einstein's **Theory of Relativity** is presented as the farthest extension of Maxwellian "classical" electromagnetic theory. In reality, the theory is a banalization of those first approximations which Riemann used as *heuristics* upon which to base his actual theoretical achievements. Einstein's **General Theory of Relativity** uses the first approximation curvature tensor derived in Chapter IV. In attempting to unify the gravitational and electromagnetic fields, Einstein overlooked exactly that notion of the increasingly complex topologies of higher-order energy potentiation (*syntropy*) which is the subject of Riemann's life work. Einstein's unified field explicitly denies the notion of a self-developing (*syntropic*) universe. His "expanding" universe is necessarily doomed to a catastrophic end, because he imposes on it the false condition of the *conservation of energy*.

"It is useful to conclude this work by tracing the interplay between developing notions of light radiation and electromagnetic radiation - if for no other reason than that the establishment of this coherence dominated the thought of leading nineteenth century scientists. **Relativity theory** as expressed by Einstein, even though it banalizes the fundamental discoveries of Riemann, makes on nice point. Not only is the particle properly subsumed by the particle-field collectivity, but its so-called discrete existence, as measured in *space* and *time*, is subsumed by the *motion* of that collectivity. [See *Perspective*]

"Finally, Einstein's axiomatic assumption of the constant *velocity of light* properly emphasizes that the *throughput of radiant energy* has been, to date, the determining factor in the *evolution* of the *biosphere*.

"The theory marked the culmination of nearly a century's work which sought to explain the failure of any experiment to detect those changes in the *velocity of propagation of light* which would be expected according to either ballistic or wave theories of light generation. If *light* is, in effect, a beam of corpuscles emitted from a *source*, then, like a ball thrown from a moving train, it should share in the *velocity* of its source according to the principle of *inertia*, but it does not. If *light* is transmitted as a *wave impulse*, then it must be affected by the interaction of the receiver and the medium. Yet, neither is this the case.

"It is, in fact, the case that metrical relationships within any local section of the *electromagnetic field* are adjusted to the flow of energy into or out of the field. This is marked by the apparent slowing of *time* and shrinking of objects as a function of the measured velocity of a system. Any method which we may suggest to determine variations in the *speed of light* ultimately falls afoul of that series of interlocking relationships which are subsumed under the constancy of the *ratio* of the *electromagnetic* to the electrostatic unit of measure by which the *speed of light* is determined. The electrostatic unit of measures the force exerted by two charged particles "at rest"; the electromagnetic unit measures the additional forces exerted between two collections of these particles in *motion*. The *ratio*, is of course, *c*. Commonly, **E** is measured in electrostatic units, **B** in electromagnetic units. This being so,

$$\text{curl } E = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta B}{\delta t}$$

"We have already contrasted **relativity theory**, as it was first developed by H. A. Lorentz, to its anticipation by Riemann. It is appropriate to locate the theory in the work of Hendrik Lorentz,

since it was his formulations which gave it shape. To [Einstein](#) goes credit for understanding that the theory demanded a new axiomatic foundation for physical geometry which would finally replace the Newtonian conception of "absolute" [space](#) and "absolute" [time](#) as an empty container in which to place geometry and mechanics. It was a bitter tragedy for the man and unfortunate for the rest of us [Bertrand Russell](#)'s gang used [Einstein](#)'s significant contribution to the theory to peddle deliberately pernicious nonsense about cultural relativity - as if a standard for progress rests upon the length of the measure of a second or an hour, or the establishment of the simultaneity of events!

"The gist of the axiomatic superstructure of the **Special Theory of Relativity** rests on the interpretations of the results of [Michelson and Morley's experiments](#) to determine the [velocity](#) of the [earth](#) relative to the [velocity](#) of the [aether](#), the medium through which, it was presumed, [light](#) was transmitted as a [wave impulse](#). As Maxwell first remarked, the time required for a ray of [light](#) to travel from a point A to a point B and back to A must vary by a small [magnitude](#) - of the second order - when the two points together undergo a displacement with respect to the [aether](#). The Michelson experiment of 1881 and a refinement of it done by Michelson and Morley in 1887 discovered no such effect.

"The theory which they tested asserted that the [aether](#) was at rest relative to the [earth](#). It is clear that any geocentric theory which assumed that the [aether](#) traveled with exactly the [velocity](#) of the [earth](#) throughout the entire universe could only be acceptable to such absolutists as otherwise favored the Hapsburg Inquisition. It was [Thomas Young](#) and Augustin Fresnel which first raised the question of the relationship of the [velocity](#) of the [aether](#) to the [velocity](#) of the [earth](#). It is necessary to bear in mind that the term "[aether](#)" implies the attempt to conceptualize the [electromagnetic field](#). Initially questions about the drift of the [aether](#) were not raised to dispose of uncomfortable questions about the measurability of [light](#). On the contrary, [light](#) was the appropriate means by which these scientists hoped to be able to measure and, therefore, determine the behavior of the field." [(White, Carol; "Energy Potential: Toward a New Electromagnetic Field Theory," (with essays by Bernhard Riemann trans. from German by J. J. Cleary, Jr.), Campaigner Publications, New York, 1977.)]

### Special Relativity

**Special relativity** is a theory of the structure of [spacetime](#). It was introduced in [Albert Einstein](#)'s 1905 paper "On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies" (for the contributions of many other physicists see History of special relativity). **Special relativity** is based on two postulates which are contradictory in classical mechanics:

The [laws](#) of physics are the same for all observers in uniform [motion](#) relative to one another (principle of relativity),

The [speed of light](#) in a [vacuum](#) is the same for all observers, regardless of their relative [motion](#) or of the [motion](#) of the source of the [light](#). (Wikipedia)

### General Relativity

**General relativity** is a theory of [gravitation](#) developed by [Albert Einstein](#) in the years 1907â€”1915. The development of **general relativity** began with the equivalence principle, under which the states of accelerated [motion](#) and being at rest in a gravitational field (for example when standing on the surface of the [Earth](#)) are physically identical. The upshot of this is that free fall is inertial motion; an object in free fall is falling because that is how objects move when there is no force being exerted on them, instead of this being due to the force of [gravity](#) as is the case in classical mechanics. This is incompatible with classical mechanics and **special relativity** because in those theories inertially moving objects cannot accelerate with respect to each other, but objects in free fall do so. To resolve this difficulty [Albert Einstein](#) first proposed that [spacetime](#) is curved. In 1915, he devised the Einstein field equations which relate the curvature of [spacetime](#) with the [mass](#), [energy](#), and [momentum](#) within it. (Wikipedia)

---

### Albert Einstein

"My opinion about [Dayton Miller](#)'s experiments is the following. ... Should the positive result be confirmed, then the special **theory of relativity** and with it the **general theory of relativity**, in its current form, would be

invalid. Experimentum summus iudex. Only the equivalence of [inertia](#) and [gravitation](#) would remain, however, they would have to lead to a significantly different theory."

[Albert Einstein](#), in a letter to Edwin E. Slosson, July 1925

"I believe that I have really found the relationship between [gravitation](#) and [electricity](#), assuming that the [Dayton Miller](#) experiments are based on a fundamental [error](#). Otherwise, the whole **relativity theory** collapses like a house of cards." [[Albert Einstein](#), in a letter to Robert Millikan, June 1921 (in Clark 1971, p.328)]

"You imagine that I look back on my life's work with calm satisfaction. But from nearby it looks quite different. There is not a single concept of which I am convinced that it will stand firm, and I feel uncertain whether I am in general on the right track." [[Albert Einstein](#), on his 70th birthday, in a letter to Maurice Solovine, 28 March 1949 (in B. Hoffman *Albert Einstein: Creator and Rebel* 1972, p.328)]

### [Nikola Tesla](#)

"... Supposing that the bodies act upon the surrounding [space](#) causing curving of the same, it appears to my simple mind that the curved spaces must react on the bodies, and producing the opposite effects, straightening out the curves. Since action and reaction are coexistent, it follows that the supposed [curvature of space](#) is entirely impossible - But even if it existed it would not explain the motions of the bodies as observed. Only the existence of a field of force can account for the motions of the bodies as observed, and its assumption dispenses with space curvature. All literature on this subject is futile and destined to oblivion. So are all attempts to explain the workings of the universe without recognizing the existence of the [ether](#) and the indispensable function it plays in the phenomena.

"My second discovery was of a physical truth of the greatest importance. As I have searched the entire scientific records in more than a half dozen languages for a long time without finding the least anticipation[1], I consider myself the original [discoverer](#) of this truth, which can be expressed by the statement: *There is no [energy](#) in [matter](#) other than that received from the environment.*" [[Nikola Tesla](#)]

*"Einstein's relativity work is a magnificent mathematical garb which fascinates, dazzles and makes people blind to the underlying errors. The theory is like a beggar clothed in purple whom ignorant people take for a king... its exponents are brilliant men but they are metaphysicists rather than scientists."* [[Nikola Tesla](#) — New York Times (11 July 1935), p. 23, c.8]

### [SVP](#)

Personally, I can't see any validity in or necessity for the idea of curved [spacetime](#). Keely's work with fractal (nested) forces more than satisfactorily accounts for just about everything we see. The concepts behind relativity of forces (perspective) however is common sense.

[1] Tesla apparently didn't read Keely's work but then Keely's work never appeared in the "scientific records".

See Also

---

## [6.13 - Density Differentiation](#)

### [atomic intermolecular and molecular density](#)

#### [Density](#)

#### [first condition in the first ratio](#)

#### [first condition in the third ratio](#)

#### [first condition of the second ratio](#)

#### [motion](#)

#### [movement](#)

#### [Ramsay - PLATE V - Proximate and Differential Oscillations](#)

#### [ratio](#)

#### [relativity](#)

#### [space](#)

