

theory of music

Ramsay

When [Leonhard Euler](#), the distinguished mathematician of the eighteenth century, wrote his essay on a [New Theory of Music](#), Fuss remarks - "It has no great success, as it contained too much [geometry](#) for musicians, and too much [music](#) for geometers." There was a reason which Fuss was not seemingly able to observe, namely, that while it had hold of some very precious musical [truth](#) it also put forth some [error](#), and [error](#) is always a hindrance to true progress. [Euler](#) did good service, however. In his letters to a German Princess on his **theory of music** he showed the true use of the [mathematical primes 2, 3, and 5](#), but debarred the use of [7](#), saying, "Were we to introduce the [number 7](#), the [tones](#) of an [octave](#) would be increased." It was wise in the great mathematician to hold his hand from adding other [notes](#). It is always dangerous to offer strange [fire](#) on the [altar](#). He very clearly set forth that while 2 has an unlimited use in producing [Octaves](#), 3 must be limited to its use [3 times](#) in producing [Fifths](#). This was right, for in producing a [fourth Fifth](#) it is not a [Fifth](#) for the [scale](#). But [Euler](#) erred in attempting to generate the [semitonic scale](#) of [12 notes](#) by the use of the [power of 5](#) a second time on *the original materials*. It produces [F#](#) right enough; for [D27](#) by 5 gives 135, which is the [number](#) for [F#](#). [D27](#) is the [note](#) by which [F#](#) is produced, because D is right for this process in its *unaltered* condition. But when [Euler](#) proceeds further to use the [prime 5](#) on the [middles](#), A, E, and B, and [F#](#), in their original and unaltered state, he quite errs, and produces all the [sharpened notes](#) *too low*. [C#](#) for the [key of D](#) is not got by applying 5 to A40, as it is in its [birthplace](#); A40 has already been altered for the [key of G](#) by a [comma](#), and is $A40 \frac{1}{2}$ before it is used for producing its [third](#); it is $A40 \frac{1}{2}$ that, multiplied by 5, gives $C\#202 \frac{1}{2}$, not C200, as [Euler](#) makes [C#](#). Things are in the same condition with E before [G#](#) is wanted for the [key of A](#). [G#](#) is found by 5 applied to E; not E in its original and unaltered state, E30; but as already *raised a comma* for the [key of D](#), $E30 \frac{3}{8}$; so [G#](#) is not 300, as [Euler](#) has it, but $303 \frac{3}{4}$. [Euler](#) next, by the same erroneous methods, proceeds to generate [D#](#) from B45, its [birthplace number](#); but before [D#](#) is wanted for the [key of E](#), B has been raised a [comma](#), and is no longer B45, but $B45 \frac{9}{16}$, and this multiplied by 5 gives $D\#227 \frac{13}{16}$, not D225, as [Euler](#) gives it. The last [semitone](#) which he generates to complete his [12 semitones](#) is [B?](#); that is [A#](#), properly speaking, for this series, and he generates it from [F#135](#); but this already altered [note](#), before [A#](#) is wanted for the [key of B](#), has been again raised a [comma](#) [[Scientific Basis and Build of Music](#), [page 107](#)]