

Diatonic Octave

Ramsay

Either the one or the other must be at fault. Had the dictates of the mathematicians and the [scale of mathematical intonation](#) wholly ruled, the advent of the great masters would have been impossible. It was well said by one writing in *The Choir* - "Theory should be made from [music](#), and not music from [theory](#) . . . the final judge of music is the [Ear](#)." The Great Masters are the exponent artists of what is true in the [Science of Music](#), though it may differ from what has been taught by the merely mathematical-intonation advocates of music science. It should not be forgotten that the science of the mathematical theorists is one thing, and that of the composers is another. Schubert, Beethoven, [Mozart](#), Haydin, Mendelssohn, and such inspired musicians, who walked in the liberty wherewith [Nature](#) made them free, are sufficient authority against the bondage of the one-law theorists who would tie us down to the mathematical command which comes from without, but who know nothing of the [life](#) within music which is the law unto itself.¹

With twelve divisions in the [Octave](#), each [note](#) is adapted to serve in any capacity, and does serve in every capacity by turns. It is quite clear that this cannot be said of the mathematically perfect notes. And this is where it is seen that what is perfect in mathematical [ratios](#) becomes imperfect in the [Musical System](#). Indeed, the [mathematical intonation](#) does not give a boundary within which to constitute a System at all, but goes off into never-ending cycles.

In music, Nature begins by producing the **Diatonic Octave** of seven notes, derived by the mathematical ratios²; [[Scientific Basis and Build of Music, page 34](#)]

See Also

**diatonic
octave**